arXiv:0906.1475v2 [math.AT] 23 Jan 2010

Jørgensen's inequality for quaternionic hyperbolic n-space

Wensheng Cao*
Department of Mathematics and Physics, Wuyi University,
Jiangmen, Guangdong 529020, P.R. China
e-mail: wenscao@yahoo.com.cn

Abstract: Jørgensen's inequality gives a necessary condition for a non-elementary two generator group of isometries of real hyperbolic 2-space to be discrete. We give analogues of Jørgensen's inequality for non-elementary groups of isometries of quaternionic hyperbolic n-space generated by two elements, one of which is loxodromic.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000): 20H10, 22E40, 57S30.

Keywords: quaternionic hyperbolic n-space, Jørgensen's inequality.

1 Introduction

Jørgensen's inequality [10] gives a necessary condition for a non-elementary two generator subgroup of $PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$ to be discrete. As a quantitative version of Margulis' lemma, this inequality has been generalized by many people in different contexts. Viewing $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ as the isometry group of complex hyperbolic 1-space, $H^1_{\mathbb{C}}$, we can seek to generalize Jørgensen's inequality to higher dimensional complex hyperbolic isometries. Kamiya [11, 12] and Parker [18, 19] gave generalizations of Jørgensen's inequality to the two generator subgroup of $PU(n,1)$ when one generator is Heisenberg translation. By using the stable basin theorem, Basmajian and Miner [1] generalized the Jørgensen's inequality to the two generator subgroup of $PU(2,1)$ when the generators are loxodromic or boundary elliptic, and several other inequalities are due to Jiang, Kamiya and Parker [8] by using matrix method other than the purely geometric method. Jiang [9] and Kamiya [13] gave generalizations of Jørgensen's inequality to the two generator subgroup of $PU(2,1)$ when one generator is Heisenberg screw motion. The generalization also was done in [20] for the case when one generator is a regular elliptic element.

Following the research on complex hyperbolic space, I. Kim and J. Parker opened up the study of quaternionic hyperbolic space in [15]. They proved some basic facts about discreteness of two generator subgroups, minimal volume of cusped quaternionic manifolds, and laid some basic tools to study quaternionic hyperbolic space.

It is naturally asked that theorems in complex hyperbolic space can be generalized to quaternionic hyperbolic space. There has been several investigation in this area. Kim [14] found analogues in quaternionic hyperbolic 2-space of results in [8, 9]. Cao [5] obtained analogue of Jørgensen's inequality for non-elementary groups of isometries of quaternionic hyperbolic n-space generated by two elements, one of which is elliptic. Markham and Parker [17] studied related problems in metric space.

In this paper, we will give analogues of Jørgensen's inequality for non-elementary groups of isometries of quaternionic hyperbolic n-space generated by two elements, one of which is loxodromic.

---

*Supported by NSFs of China (No.10801107, No.10671004) and NSF of Guangdong Province (No.8452902001000043)

Our main result is

Theorem 1.1. *Let g and h be elements of $Sp(n,1)$ such that g is loxodromic element with fixed points u,v. If either*

$$M_g(1+|[h(u),v,u,h(v)]|^{1/2})<1 \quad or \quad M_g(1+|[h(u),u,v,h(v)]|^{1/2})<1, \tag{1}$$

then the group $\langle g,h\rangle$ is either elementary or not discrete.

We arrange this paper as follows. Section 2 contains some necessary background materials of quaternionic hyperbolic geometry. Section 3 contains the definition of quaternionic cross-ratio and a lemma which is crucial to prove our main result. Section 4 aims at the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{H}$ denote the division ring of real quaternions. Elements of $\mathbb{H}$ have the form $z=z_1+z_2\mathbf{i}+z_3\mathbf{j}+z_4\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{H}$ where $z_i\in\mathbb{R}$ and

$$\mathbf{i}^2=\mathbf{j}^2=\mathbf{k}^2=\mathbf{ijk}=-1.$$

Let $\overline{z}=z_1-z_2\mathbf{i}-z_3\mathbf{j}-z_4\mathbf{k}$ be the *conjugate* of z, and

$$|z|=\sqrt{\overline{z}z}=\sqrt{z_1^2+z_2^2+z_3^2+z_4^2}$$

be the *modulus* of z. We define $\Re(z)=(z+\overline{z})/2$ to be the *real part* of z, and $\Im(z)=(z-\overline{z})/2$ to be the *imaginary part* of z. Also $z^{-1}=\overline{z}|z|^{-2}$ is the *inverse* of z. Observe that $\Re(wzw^{-1})=\Re(z)$ and $|wzw^{-1}|=|z|$ for all z and w in $\mathbb{H}$. Two quaternions z and w are *similar* if there exists nonzero $q\in\mathbb{H}$ such that $z=qwq^{-1}$. The similarity class of z is the set $\{qzq^{-1}:q\in\mathbb{H}-\{0\}\}$.

We collect the following simple properties of quaternions as a proposition for later use; More properties of quaternions and matrices of quaternions can be found in [21].

Proposition 2.1. *For any two quaternions a,b, we have*

$$\Re(ab)=\Re(ba)$$

and

$$2\Re(a)\Re(b)-\Re(ab)\le|ab|.$$

Proof. Let

$$a=a_1+a_2\mathbf{i}+a_3\mathbf{j}+a_4\mathbf{k},\quad b=b_1+b_2\mathbf{i}+b_3\mathbf{j}+b_4\mathbf{k},$$

where $a_i,b_i\in\mathbb{R},i=1,\cdots,4$. Then

$$\Re(ab)=a_1b_1-a_2b_2-a_3b_3-a_4b_4=\Re(ba)$$

and

$$2\Re(a)\Re(b)-\Re(ab)=a_1b_1+a_2b_2+a_3b_3+a_4b_4\le(a_1^2+a_2^2+a_3^2+a_4^2)^{1/2}(b_1^2+b_2^2+b_3^2+b_4^2)^{1/2}=|ab|.$$

In what follows, we give some necessary background materials of quaternionic hyperbolic geometry. More details can be found in [6, 7, 15].

Let $\mathbb{H}^{n,1}$ be the quaternionic vector space of quaternionic dimension $n+1$ (so real dimension $4n+4$) with the quaternionic Hermitian form

$$\langle \mathbf{z},\, \mathbf{w}\rangle = \mathbf{w}^* J\mathbf{z} = \overline{w_1}z_1 + \cdots + \overline{w_{n-1}}z_{n-1} - (\overline{w_n}z_{n+1} + \overline{w_{n+1}}z_n),$$

where $\mathbf{z}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ are the column vectors in $\mathbb{H}^{n,1}$ with entries $z_1, \cdots, z_{n+1}$ and $w_1, \cdots, w_{n+1}$ respectively, $\cdot^*$ denotes quaternionic Hermitian transpose and J is the Hermitian matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define a *unitary transformation* g to be an automorphism $\mathbb{H}^{n,1}$, that is, a linear bijection such that $\langle g(\mathbf{z}),\, g(\mathbf{w})\rangle = \langle \mathbf{z},\, \mathbf{w}\rangle$ for all $\mathbf{z}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ in $\mathbb{H}^{n,1}$. We denote the group of all unitary transformations by $Sp(n,1)$.

Following Section 2 of [6], let

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= \Big\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{H}^{n,1} - \{0\} : \langle \mathbf{z},\, \mathbf{z}\rangle = 0\Big\} \\ V_- &= \Big\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{H}^{n,1} : \langle \mathbf{z},\, \mathbf{z}\rangle < 0\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that V_0 and V_- are invariant under $Sp(n,1)$. We define V^s to be $V^s = V_- \cup V_0$. Let $P : V^s \to P(V^s) \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be the right projection map defined by

$$P(z_1, \cdots, z_n, z_{n+1})^t = (z_1 z_{n+1}^{-1}, \cdots, z_n z_{n+1}^{-1})^t,$$

where $\cdot^t$ denotes the transpose.

We define $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n = P(V_-)$ and $\partial H_{\mathbb{H}}^n = P(V_0)$. We call $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ the siegel domain model of quaternionic hyperbolic n-space. The Bergman metric on $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ is given by the distance formula

$$\cosh^2 \frac{\rho(z,w)}{2} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{z},\, \mathbf{w}\rangle\langle \mathbf{w},\, \mathbf{z}\rangle}{\langle \mathbf{z},\, \mathbf{z}\rangle\langle \mathbf{w},\, \mathbf{w}\rangle}, \quad \text{where} \quad z, w \in H_{\mathbb{H}}^n, \quad \mathbf{z} \in P^{-1}(z), \mathbf{w} \in P^{-1}(w).$$

The isometry group of $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ with respect to the Bergman metric is the projective unitary group $PSp(n,1)$ and acts on $P(\mathbb{H}^{n,1})$ by matrix multiplication. Here, we adopt the convention that the action of $Sp(n,1)$ on $H_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ is left action and the action of projectivization of $Sp(n,1)$ is right action.

If $g \in Sp(n,1)$, by definition, g preserves the Hermitian form. Hence

$$\mathbf{w}^* J\mathbf{z} = \langle \mathbf{z},\, \mathbf{w}\rangle = \langle g\mathbf{z},\, g\mathbf{w}\rangle = \mathbf{w}^* g^* J g\mathbf{z}$$

for all $\mathbf{z}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ in $\mathbb{H}^{n,1}$. Letting $\mathbf{z}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ vary over a basis for $\mathbb{H}^{n,1}$, we see that $J = g^* J g$. From this we find $g^{-1} = J^{-1} g^* J$. That is:

$$g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A^* & -\theta^* & -\gamma^* \\ -\beta^* & \overline{a_{n+1,n+1}} & \overline{a_{n,n+1}} \\ -\alpha^* & \overline{a_{n+1,n}} & \overline{a_{n,n}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}$$

if

$$g = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\cdots,n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} A & \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & a_{n,n} & a_{n,n+1} \\ \theta & a_{n+1,n} & a_{n+1,n+1} \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(n,1). \tag{3}$$

Using the identities $gg^{-1} = g^{-1}g = I_{n+1}$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&AA^* - \alpha\beta^* - \beta\alpha^* = I_{n-1}, && (4)\\
&-A\theta^* + \alpha\overline{a_{n+1,n+1}} + \beta\overline{a_{n+1,n}} = 0, && (5)\\
&-A\gamma^* + \alpha\overline{a_{n,n+1}} + \beta\overline{a_{n,n}} = 0, && (6)\\
&-\gamma\theta^* + a_{n,n}\overline{a_{n+1,n+1}} + a_{n,n+1}\overline{a_{n+1,n}} = 1, && (7)\\
&-\gamma\gamma^* + a_{n,n}\overline{a_{n,n+1}} + a_{n,n+1}\overline{a_{n,n}} = 0, && (8)\\
&-\theta\theta^* + a_{n+1,n}\overline{a_{n+1,n+1}} + a_{n+1,n+1}\overline{a_{n+1,n}} = 0, && (9)\\
&A^*A - \theta^*\gamma - \gamma^*\theta = I_{n-1}, && (10)\\
&A^*\alpha - \theta^* a_{n,n} - \gamma^* a_{n+1,n} = 0, && (11)\\
&A^*\beta - \theta^* a_{n,n+1} - \gamma^* a_{n+1,n+1} = 0, && (12)\\
&-\beta^*\alpha + \overline{a_{n+1,n+1}}a_{n,n} + \overline{a_{n,n+1}}a_{n+1,n} = 1, && (13)\\
&-\beta^*\beta + \overline{a_{n+1,n+1}}a_{n,n+1} + \overline{a_{n,n+1}}a_{n+1,n+1} = 0, && (14)\\
&-\alpha^*\alpha + \overline{a_{n+1,n}}a_{n,n} + \overline{a_{n,n}}a_{n+1,n} = 0. && (15)
\end{aligned}
$$

For a non-trivial element g of $Sp(n,1)$, we say that g is

(i) *elliptic* if it has a fixed point in $H^n_{\mathbb{H}}$;

(ii) *parabolic* if it has exactly one fixed point which lies in $\partial H^n_{\mathbb{H}}$;

(iii) *loxodromic* if it has exactly two fixed points which lie in $\partial H^n_{\mathbb{H}}$.

A subgroup G of $Sp(n,1)$ is called *non-elementary* if it contains two non-elliptic elements of infinite order with distinct fixed points; Otherwise G is called *elementary.*

As in complex hyperbolic n-space, we have the following proposition classifying elementary subgroups of $Sp(n,1)$.

Proposition 2.2. *(cf.[4, Lemma 2.4] (i) If G contains a parabolic element but no loxodromic element, then G is elementary if and only if it fixes a point in* $\partial H^n_{\mathbb{H}}$*;*

(ii) If G contains a loxodromic element, then G is elementary if and only if it fixes a point in $\partial H^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ *or a point-pair* $\{x,y\} \subset \partial H^n_{\mathbb{H}}$*;*

(iii) G is purely elliptic, i.e., each non-trivial element of G is elliptic, then G is elementary and fixes a point in $\overline{H^n_{\mathbb{H}}}$.

Let $q_0, q_\infty \in \partial H^n_{\mathbb{H}}$ stand for the images of $(0,\cdots,0,1),(0,\cdots,0,1,0) \in \mathbb{H}^{n,1}$ under the projection map P, respectively, and

$$G_0 = \{g \in Sp(n,1) : g(q_0) = q_0\}, G_\infty = \{g \in Sp(n,1) : g(q_\infty) = q_\infty\}, G_{0,\infty} = G_0 \cap G_\infty.$$

Then we have the following three propositions, see [6, Lemma 3.3.1].

Proposition 2.3. *If* $g \in G_\infty$*, then* g *is of form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & a \\ b & \lambda & s \\ 0 & 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

where $\mu, \lambda, s \in \mathbb{H}$, $\overline{\mu}\lambda = 1$, $\Re(\overline{\mu}s) = \frac{1}{2}|a|^2$, $b = \lambda a^* A$ *and* $A \in U(n-1;\mathbb{H})$.

Proposition 2.4. *If $g \in G_0$, then g is of form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & a & 0 \\ 0 & \mu & 0 \\ b & s & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

where $\mu, \lambda, s \in \mathbb{H}$, $\overline{\mu}\lambda = 1$, $\Re(\overline{\mu}s) = \frac{1}{2}|a|^2$, $b = \lambda a^* A$ and $A \in U(n-1;\mathbb{H})$.

Proposition 2.5. *If $g \in G_{0,\infty}$, then g is of form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}, \tag{18}$$

where $\mu, \lambda, \in \mathbb{H}$, $\overline{\mu}\lambda = 1$ and $A \in U(n-1;\mathbb{H})$.

If $g \in Sp(n,1)$ is loxodromic, then g conjugates to

$$diag(\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2,\ \cdots,\ \lambda_{n+1}) \in G_{0,\infty}, \tag{19}$$

where $\lambda_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, n-1$ are right eigenvalues of quaternionic matrix g with norm 1 and $|\lambda_n| \neq 1, \overline{\lambda_n}\lambda_{n+1} = 1$.

Right eigenvalues are conjugacy invariants for matrices $g \in Sp(n,1)$, see [2, 3, 21]. In fact, if $g\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}t$ then

$$(hgh^{-1})(h\mathbf{z}) = hg(\mathbf{z}) = (h\mathbf{z})t.$$

If t is a right eigenvalue of g then so is any quaternion in the similarity class of t. In order to see this, observe that if $g\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}t$ and q is any non-zero quaternion then

$$g(\mathbf{z}q) = g\mathbf{z}q = \mathbf{z}tq = (\mathbf{z}q)(q^{-1}tq).$$

For any loxodromic element $g \in Sp(n,1)$, after conjugating to the form (19) we define

$$\delta(g) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} |\lambda_i - 1| \tag{20}$$

and

$$M_g = 2\delta(g) + |\lambda_n - 1| + |\lambda_{n+1} - 1|. \tag{21}$$

Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *If $g \in Sp(n,1)$ is loxodromic, then $\delta(g)$ and M_g are conjugacy invariants.*

3 Main Lemma

Cross-ratios were generalized to complex hyperbolic space by Korányi and Reimann [16]. We will generalize this definition of complex cross-ratio to the non commutative quaternion ring.

Definition 1. *The quaternionic cross-ratio of four points z_1, z_2, w_1, w_2 in $\overline{H^n_{\mathbb{H}}}$ is defined as :*

$$[z_1, z_2, w_1, w_2] = \langle \mathbf{w_1}, \mathbf{z_1} \rangle \langle \mathbf{w_1}, \mathbf{z_2} \rangle^{-1} \langle \mathbf{w_2}, \mathbf{z_2} \rangle \langle \mathbf{w_2}, \mathbf{z_1} \rangle^{-1}, \tag{22}$$

where $\mathbf{z}_i =\in P^{-1}(z_i)$ and $\mathbf{w_i} \in P^{-1}(w_i)$ for $i = 1,\ 2$.

Obviously, The quaternionic cross-ratio $[z_1, z_2, w_1, w_2]$ depends on the choice of $\mathbf{z}_1 \in P^{-1}(z_1)$. However, its absolute value

$$\big|[z_1, z_2, w_1, w_2]\big| = \frac{|\langle \mathbf{w_1},\, \mathbf{z_1}\rangle\langle \mathbf{w_2},\, \mathbf{z_2}\rangle|}{|\langle \mathbf{w_1},\, \mathbf{z_2}\rangle\langle \mathbf{w_2},\, \mathbf{z_1}\rangle|} \tag{23}$$

is independent of the preimage of z_i and w_i in $\mathbb{H}^{n,1}$.

Let

$$h = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\cdots,n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} A & \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & a_{n,n} & a_{n,n+1} \\ \theta & a_{n+1,n} & a_{n+1,n+1} \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(n,1). \tag{24}$$

Then

$$|[h(q_\infty), q_0, q_\infty, h(q_0)]| = |a_{n+1,n}a_{n,n+1}| \tag{25}$$

and

$$|[h(q_\infty), q_\infty, q_0, h(q_0)]| = |a_{n,n}a_{n+1,n+1}|. \tag{26}$$

In order to find the discrete condition, we will use the absolute value of this quaternionic cross-ratio.

The following lemma is crucial for us.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* h *be of the form (24). Then*

$$|\beta^*\alpha| \le 2|a_{n,n}a_{n+1,n+1}|^{1/2}|a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|^{1/2}, \tag{27}$$

$$|\gamma\theta^*| \le 2|a_{n,n}a_{n+1,n+1}|^{1/2}|a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|^{1/2}, \tag{28}$$

$$|a_{n,n}a_{n+1,n+1}|^{1/2} \le |a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|^{1/2} + 1, \tag{29}$$

$$|a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|^{1/2} \le |a_{n,n}a_{n+1,n+1}|^{1/2} + 1, \tag{30}$$

$$|a_{n,n}a_{n+1,n+1}|^{1/2} + |a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|^{1/2} \ge 1. \tag{31}$$

Proof. By (14) and (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta^*\alpha|^2 &\le |\beta^*\beta||\alpha^*\alpha| = 2\Re(\overline{a_{n+1,n+1}}a_{n,n+1})2\Re(\overline{a_{n+1,n}}a_{n,n}) \qquad (32)\\ &\le 4|a_{n,n}a_{n+1,n+1}||a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|. \qquad (33) \end{aligned}$$

By (8) and (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma\theta^*|^2 &\le |\gamma\gamma^*||\theta\theta^*| = 2\Re(a_{n,n}\overline{a_{n,n+1}})2\Re(a_{n+1,n}\overline{a_{n+1,n+1}}) \\ &\le 4|a_{n,n}a_{n+1,n+1}||a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|. \end{aligned}$$

By (13) and (32), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 - \overline{a_{n+1,n+1}}a_{n,n} - \overline{a_{n,n+1}}a_{n+1,n})(1 - \overline{a_{n,n}}a_{n+1,n+1} - \overline{a_{n+1,n}}a_{n,n+1}) \\ &= 1 + |a_{n+1,n+1}a_{n,n}|^2 + |a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|^2 + 2\Re(\overline{a_{n+1,n+1}}a_{n,n}\overline{a_{n+1,n}}a_{n,n+1}) \\ &-2\Re(\overline{a_{n,n}}a_{n+1,n+1}) - 2\Re(\overline{a_{n+1,n}}a_{n,n+1}) \\ &= |\beta^*\alpha|^2 \le (\beta^*\beta)(\alpha^*\alpha) = 4\Re(\overline{a_{n+1,n+1}}a_{n,n+1})\Re(\overline{a_{n+1,n}}a_{n,n}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\Re(\overline{a_{n,n}}a_{n+1,n+1}) + 2\Re(\overline{a_{n+1,n}}a_{n,n+1}) \geq 1 + |a_{n+1,n+1}a_{n,n}|^2 + |a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|^2 \\
&\quad +2\Re(\overline{a_{n+1,n+1}}a_{n,n}\overline{a_{n+1,n}}a_{n,n+1}) - 4\Re(\overline{a_{n+1,n+1}}a_{n,n+1})\Re(\overline{a_{n+1,n}}a_{n,n}) \\
&= 1 + |a_{n+1,n+1}a_{n,n}|^2 + |a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|^2 \\
&\quad -2(2\Re(a_{n,n+1}\overline{a_{n+1,n+1}})\Re(a_{n,n}\overline{a_{n+1,n}}) - \Re(a_{n,n+1}\overline{a_{n+1,n+1}}a_{n,n}\overline{a_{n+1,n}})) \\
&\geq 1 + |a_{n+1,n+1}a_{n,n}|^2 + |a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|^2 - 2|a_{n+1,n+1}a_{n,n}||a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}| \\
&= 1 + (|a_{n+1,n+1}a_{n,n}| - |a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from Proposition 2.1. Hence

$$2|a_{n,n}a_{n+1,n+1}| + 2|a_{n+1,n}a_{n,n+1}| \geq 1 + (|a_{n+1,n+1}a_{n,n}| - |a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|)^2.$$

That is

$$4|a_{n+1,n}a_{n,n+1}| \geq (|a_{n+1,n+1}a_{n,n}| - |a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}| - 1)^2.$$

Taking the square root gives

$$-2|a_{n+1,n}a_{n,n+1}|^{1/2} \leq |a_{n+1,n+1}a_{n,n}| - |a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}| - 1 \leq 2|a_{n+1,n}a_{n,n+1}|^{1/2}.$$

Thus

$$(1 - |a_{n+1,n}a_{n,n+1}|^{1/2})^2 \leq |a_{n+1,n+1}a_{n,n}| \leq (1 + |a_{n+1,n}a_{n,n+1}|^{1/2})^2.$$

Taking the square root in the above formula gives the desired inequalities.

The proof is complete.

4 The proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof of Theorem 1.1. Since (1) is invariant under conjugation, we may assume that g is of form (19) and h is of the form (24). By (25) and (26), conditions (1) can be rewritten as

$$M_g(1 + |a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|^{1/2}) < 1 \quad \text{or} \quad M_g(1 + |a_{n+1,n+1}a_{n,n}|^{1/2}) < 1. \tag{34}$$

Let $h_0 = h$ and $h_{k+1} = h_k g h_k^{-1}$. We write

$$h_k = (a_{ij}^{(k)})_{i,j=1,\cdots,n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{(k)} & \alpha^{(k)} & \beta^{(k)} \\ \gamma^{(k)} & a_{n,n}^{(k)} & a_{n,n+1}^{(k)} \\ \theta^{(k)} & a_{n+1,n}^{(k)} & a_{n+1,n+1}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{k+1} &= \begin{pmatrix} A^{(k+1)} & \alpha^{(k+1)} & \beta^{(k+1)} \\ \gamma^{(k+1)} & a_{n,n}^{(k+1)} & a_{n,n+1}^{(k+1)} \\ \theta^{(k+1)} & a_{n+1,n}^{(k+1)} & a_{n+1,n+1}^{(k+1)} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} A^{(k)} & \alpha^{(k)} & \beta^{(k)} \\ \gamma^{(k)} & a_{n,n}^{(k)} & a_{n,n+1}^{(k)} \\ \theta^{(k)} & a_{n+1,n}^{(k)} & a_{n+1,n+1}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (A^{(k)})^* & -(\theta^{(k)})^* & -(\gamma^{(k)})^* \\ -(\beta^{(k)})^* & \overline{a_{n+1,n+1}^{(k)}} & \overline{a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}} \\ -(\alpha^{(k)})^* & \overline{a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}} & \overline{a_{n,n}^{(k)}} \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

where $L = diag(\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2,\ \cdots,\ \lambda_{n-1})$. Therefore

$$a_{n,n}^{(k+1)} = -\gamma^{(k)} L(\theta^{(k)})^* + a_{n,n}^{(k)}\lambda_n\overline{a_{n+1,n+1}^{(k)}} + a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}\lambda_{n+1}\overline{a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}}, \tag{35}$$

$$a_{n,n+1}^{(k+1)} = -\gamma^{(k)} L(\gamma^{(k)})^* + a_{n,n}^{(k)}\lambda_n\overline{a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}} + a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}\lambda_{n+1}\overline{a_{n,n}^{(k)}}, \tag{36}$$

$$a_{n+1,n}^{(k+1)} = -\theta^{(k)} L(\theta^{(k)})^* + a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}\lambda_n\overline{a_{n+1,n+1}^{(k)}} + a_{n+1,n+1}^{(k)}\lambda_{n+1}\overline{a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}}, \tag{37}$$

$$a_{n+1,n+1}^{(k+1)} = -\theta^{(k)} L(\gamma^{(k)})^* + a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}\lambda_n\overline{a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}} + a_{n+1,n+1}^{(k)}\lambda_{n+1}\overline{a_{n,n}^{(k)}}. \tag{38}$$

By (8) and (36), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|a_{n,n+1}^{(k+1)}| &= |\gamma^{(k)}(I_{n-1}-L)(\gamma^{(k)})^* + a_{n,n}^{(k)}(\lambda_n-1)\overline{a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}} + a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}(\lambda_{n+1}-1)\overline{a_{n,n}^{(k)}}| \\
&= |\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{n,j}^{(k)}(1-\lambda_j)\overline{a_{n,j}^{(k)}} + a_{n,n}^{(k)}(\lambda_n-1)\overline{a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}} + a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}(\lambda_{n+1}-1)\overline{a_{n,n}^{(k)}}| \\
&\leq \delta(g)\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}|a_{n,j}^{(k)}|^2 + (|\lambda_n-1|+|\lambda_{n+1}-1|)|a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}a_{n,n}^{(k)}| \\
&= \delta(g)2\Re(a_{n,n}^{(k)}\overline{a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}}) + (|\lambda_n-1|+|\lambda_{n+1}-1|)|a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}a_{n,n}^{(k)}| \\
&\leq (2\delta(g)+|\lambda_n-1|+|\lambda_{n+1}-1|)|a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}a_{n,n}^{(k)}| \\
&= M_g|a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}a_{n,n}^{(k)}|.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by (9) and (37) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|a_{n+1,n}^{(k+1)}| &= |\theta^{(k)}(I_{n-1}-L)(\theta^{(k)})^* + a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}(\lambda_n-1)\overline{a_{n+1,n+1}^{(k)}} + a_{n+1,n+1}^{(k)}(\lambda_{n+1}-1)\overline{a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}}| \\
&\leq M_g|a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}a_{n+1,n+1}^{(k)}|.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1 and the above two inequalities, we have

$$|a_{n,n+1}^{(k+1)}a_{n+1,n}^{(k+1)}| \leq M_g^2|a_{n,n}^{(k)}a_{n+1,n+1}^{(k)}||a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}| \leq M_g^2(1+|a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}|^{1/2})^2|a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}|. \tag{39}$$

Hence

$$|a_{n,n+1}^{(k+1)}a_{n+1,n}^{(k+1)}|^{1/2} \leq M_g(1+|a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}|^{1/2})|a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}|^{1/2}. \tag{40}$$

In what follows, we partition our proof by the following three claims.

Claim 1: Under the conditions (34), $a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}$ converges to zero as $k \to \infty$.

Suppose that $T_1 = M_g(1+|a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|^{1/2}) < 1$. Then

$$|a_{n,n+1}^{(1)}a_{n+1,n}^{(1)}|^{1/2} \leq T_1|a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|^{1/2} < |a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|^{1/2} \tag{41}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|a_{n,n+1}^{(2)}a_{n+1,n}^{(2)}|^{1/2} &\leq M_g(1+|a_{n,n+1}^{(1)}a_{n+1,n}^{(1)}|^{1/2})|a_{n,n+1}^{(1)}a_{n+1,n}^{(1)}|^{1/2} \\
&< T_1|a_{n,n+1}^{(1)}a_{n+1,n}^{(1)}|^{1/2} \leq T_1^2|a_{n,n+1}a_{n+1,n}|^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain by induction that for $k \geq 1$,

$$|a_{n,n+1}^{(k+1)} a_{n+1,n}^{(k+1)}|^{1/2} < T_1^{k+1} |a_{n,n+1} a_{n+1,n}|^{1/2}, \tag{42}$$

which implies

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} |a_{n,n+1}^{(k)} a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}|^{1/2} = 0. \tag{43}$$

Suppose that $T_2 = M_g(1 + |a_{n,n} a_{n+1,n+1}|^{1/2}) < 1$. Then by (39) and Lemma 3.1

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{n,n+1}^{(1)} a_{n+1,n}^{(1)}|^{1/2} &\leq M_g |a_{n,n} a_{n+1,n+1}|^{1/2} |a_{n,n+1} a_{n+1,n}|^{1/2} \\ &\leq |a_{n,n} a_{n+1,n+1}|^{1/2} M_g (1 + |a_{n,n} a_{n+1,n+1}|^{1/2}) \leq |a_{n,n} a_{n+1,n+1}|^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $R = M_g(1 + |a_{n,n+1}^{(1)} a_{n+1,n}^{(1)}|^{1/2}) < T_2 < 1$. From (40), we obtain by induction that

$$|a_{n,n+1}^{(k+1)} a_{n+1,n}^{(k+1)}|^{1/2} < R^k |a_{n,n+1}^{(1)} a_{n+1,n}^{(1)}|^{1/2}, \tag{44}$$

which also implies

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} |a_{n,n+1}^{(k)} a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}|^{1/2} = 0. \tag{45}$$

The proof of claim 1 is complete.

Claim 2: If there exists some integer k such that

$$a_{n,n+1}^{(k+1)} a_{n+1,n}^{(k+1)} = 0, \tag{46}$$

then $\langle h, g \rangle$ is either elementary or not discrete.

The condition (46) can be divided into three cases as follows:

$$(i)\ \ a_{n,n+1}^{(k+1)} = 0,\ a_{n+1,n}^{(k+1)} \neq 0; \quad (ii)\ \ a_{n,n+1}^{(k+1)} \neq 0,\ a_{n+1,n}^{(k+1)} = 0; \quad (iii)\ \ a_{n,n+1}^{(k+1)} = 0,\ a_{n+1,n}^{(k+1)} = 0.$$

If the case (i) holds, then (14), (8) and (13) imply that

$$\beta^{(k+1)} = (0, \cdots, 0)^t, \quad \gamma^{(k+1)} = (0, \cdots, 0), \quad \overline{a_{n+1,n+1}^{(k+1)}} a_{n,n}^{(k+1)} = 1.$$

This implies that

$$h_{k+1}(q_0) = h_k g h_k^{-1}(q_0) = q_0.$$

Since h_k is loxodromic for $k \geq 1$, h_k can not swap q_0 and q_∞. We have $h_k(q_0) = q_0$ and by induction,

$$h_1(q_0) = hgh^{-1}(q_0) = q_0.$$

If in addition, we have

$$h_1(q_\infty) = hgh^{-1}(q_\infty) = q_\infty.$$

Then h keeps the set $\{q_0, q_\infty\}$ invariant, which implies that $\langle h, g \rangle$ is elementary. If

$$h_1(q_\infty) = hgh^{-1}(q_\infty) \neq q_\infty,$$

then g and h_1 share exactly one fixed point so $\langle h_1, g \rangle$ is not discrete by [11, Theorem 3.1]. Hence $\langle h, g \rangle$ is not discrete.

Similarly, if the case (ii) holds, we can show that $\langle h, g \rangle$ is either elementary or not discrete.

If the case (iii) holds, as in case (i) and case (ii), we can obtain

$$h_1(q_0) = hgh^{-1}(q_0) = q_0,\ h_1(q_\infty) = hgh^{-1}(q_\infty) = q_\infty,$$

which implies $\langle h, g\rangle$ is elementary.

The proof of claim 2 is complete.

Claim 3: If for any $k \geq 1$,

$$a^{(k+1)}_{n,n+1}a^{(k+1)}_{n+1,n} \neq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad a^{(k+1)}_{n,n+1}a^{(k+1)}_{n+1,n} \to 0, k \to \infty. \tag{47}$$

then $\langle h, g\rangle$ is not discrete.

Suppose condition (47) holds. Then $|a^{(k+1)}_{n,n+1}a^{(k+1)}_{n+1,n}|$ is a distinct strictly decreasing sequence of positive numbers. By Lemma 3.1, we know that $|a^{(k)}_{n,n}a^{(k)}_{n+1,n+1}| \leq (1 + |a^{(k)}_{n,n+1}a^{(k)}_{n+1,n}|^{1/2})^2$ is bounded for all k. It follows from (32) that

$$|(\beta^{(k)})^*\alpha^{(k)}|^2 \leq 4|a^{(k)}_{n,n}a^{(k)}_{n+1,n+1}||a^{(k)}_{n,n+1}a^{(k)}_{n+1,n}|, \tag{48}$$

which implies that

$$(\beta^{(k)})^*\alpha^{(k)} \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty. \tag{49}$$

Observing that

$$\overline{a^{(k)}_{n+1,n+1}}a^{(k)}_{n,n} = 1 + (\beta^{(k)})^*\alpha^{(k)} - \overline{a^{(k)}_{n,n+1}}a^{(k)}_{n+1,n},$$

we have

$$\overline{a^{(k)}_{n+1,n+1}}a^{(k)}_{n,n} \to 1, \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty. \tag{50}$$

It follows from Proposition 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} |-\gamma^{(k)}L(\theta^{(k)})^*| &\leq |\gamma^{(k)}||\theta^{(k)}| = 2\Re(a^{(k)}_{n,n}\overline{a^{(k)}_{n,n+1}})2\Re(a^{(k)}_{n+1,n}\overline{a^{(k)}_{n+1,n+1}}) \\ &\leq 2(\Re(a^{(k)}_{n,n}\overline{a^{(k)}_{n,n+1}}a^{(k)}_{n+1,n}\overline{a^{(k)}_{n+1,n+1}}) + |a^{(k)}_{n,n}\overline{a^{(k)}_{n,n+1}}a^{(k)}_{n+1,n}\overline{a^{(k)}_{n+1,n+1}}|). \end{aligned}$$

By (35), we have

$$|a^{(k)}_{n,n}| \to |\lambda_n|, \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty. \tag{51}$$

Similarly, by (38) we have

$$|a^{(k)}_{n+1,n+1}| \to |\lambda_{n+1}|, \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty. \tag{52}$$

By (32), we have $(\beta^{(k)})^*\beta^{(k)} \to 0$ or $(\alpha^{(k)})^*\alpha^{(k)} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, which implies that

$$\alpha^{(k)}(\beta^{(k)})^* \to O_{n-1},\ \beta^{(k)}(\alpha^{(k)})^* \to O_{n-1} \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty, \tag{53}$$

where O_{n-1} is $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix with all entries equaling 0. By (4), we have

$$A^{(k)}(A^{(k)})^* \to I_{n-1} \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty. \tag{54}$$

Since $|a^{(k+1)}_{n,n+1}| \leq M_g|a^{(k)}_{n,n+1}a^{(k)}_{n,n}|$ and $M_g < 1$, by (51) we can choose a positive integer N and some $0 < s < 1$ so that for all $k \geq N$, $|a^{(k+1)}_{n,n+1}| < s|\lambda_n||a^{(k)}_{n,n+1}|$, that is

$$\frac{|a^{(k+1)}_{n,n+1}|}{|\lambda_n|^{k+1}} < s\frac{|a^{(k)}_{n,n+1}|}{|\lambda_n|^k}, \quad \text{for all} \quad k \geq N. \tag{55}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{|a_{n,n+1}^{(k)}|}{|\lambda_n|^k}=0. \tag{56}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{|a_{n+1,n}^{(k)}|}{|\lambda_{n+1}|^k}=0. \tag{57}$$

Following Jøgensen, we now define the sequence $f_k=g^{-k}h_{2k}g^k$. As a matrix in $Sp(n,1)$ this is given by

$$f_k=\begin{pmatrix} L^{-k}A^{(2k)}L^k & L^{-k}\alpha^{(2k)}\lambda_n^k & L^{-k}\beta^{(2k)}\lambda_{n+1}^k \\ \lambda_n^{-k}\gamma^{(2k)}L^k & \lambda_n^{-k}a_{n,n}^{(2k)}\lambda_n^k & \lambda_n^{-k}a_{n,n+1}^{(2k)}\lambda_{n+1}^k \\ \lambda_{n+1}^{-k}\theta^{(2k)}L^k & \lambda_{n+1}^{-k}a_{n+1,n}^{(2k)}\lambda_n^k & \lambda_{n+1}^{-k}a_{n+1,n+1}^{(2k)}\lambda_{n+1}^k \end{pmatrix}. \tag{58}$$

Since $|\lambda_n\lambda_{n+1}|=1$, by (56) and (57) we have that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}|\lambda_n^{-k}a_{n,n+1}^{(2k)}\lambda_{n+1}^k|=0 \quad\text{and}\quad \lim_{k\to\infty}|\lambda_{n+1}^{-k}a_{n+1,n}^{(2k)}\lambda_n^k|=0 \tag{59}$$

By (15), we have

$$|L^{-k}\alpha^{(2k)}\lambda_n^k|^2=\overline{\lambda_n^k}(\alpha^{(2k)})^*(L^{-k})^*L^{-k}\alpha^{(2k)}\lambda_n^k=2\Re(\frac{\overline{a_{n+1,n}^{(2k)}}}{|\lambda_{n+1}|^{2k}}a_{n,n}^{(2k)}), \tag{60}$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}L^{-k}\alpha^{(2k)}\lambda_n^k=(0,\cdots,0)^t. \tag{61}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}L^{-k}\beta^{(2k)}\lambda_{n+1}^k=(0,\cdots,0)^t, \tag{62}$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\lambda_n^{-k}\gamma^{(2k)}L^k=(0,\cdots,0), \tag{63}$$

and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\lambda_{n+1}^{-k}\theta^{(2k)}L^k=(0,\cdots,0). \tag{64}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$A^{(2k)}(A^{(2k)})^*\to I_{n-1}, |\lambda_n^{-k}a_{n,n}^{(2k)}\lambda_n^k|\to|\lambda_n|, |\lambda_{n+1}^{-k}a_{n+1,n+1}^{(2k)}\lambda_{n+1}^k|\to|\lambda_{n+1}|, \quad\text{as}\quad k\to\infty. \tag{65}$$

From the above limits, we find that f_k are all distinct and there exists a subsequence which converges to a element in $\langle h,g\rangle$. So the group $\langle h,g\rangle$ is not discrete. The proof of claim 3 is complete.

The proof is complete.

References

[1] Basmajian, A., Miner, R.: Discrete subgroups of complex hyperbolic motions. Invent. Math. **131**, 85-136 (1998)

[2] Cao, W. S., Parker, J. R., Wang, X. T.: On the classification of quaternionic Möbius transformations. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **137**, 349-361 (2004)

[3] Cao W. S.: On the Classification of 4-dimensional Möbius transformations. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **50**, 49-62 (2007)

[4] Cao W. S.: Discrete and dense subgroups acting on complex hyperbolic space. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **78**, 211-224 (2008)

[5] Cao W. S.: Notes on discrete quaternionic unitary subgroup. Preprint 2008

[6] Chen, S. S., Greenberg, L.: Hyperbolic spaces, Contributions to analysis. Academic Press, New York. 49-87 (1974)

[7] Goldman, W. M.: Complex Hyperbolic Geometry. Oxford University Press 1999

[8] Jiang, Y. P., Kamiya, S., Parker, J. R.: Jørgensen's inequality for complex hyperbolic space. Geometriae Dedicata. **97**, 55-80 (2003)

[9] Jiang, Y. P., Parker, J. R.: Uniform discreteness and Heisenberg screw motions. Math. Z. **243**, 653-669 (2003)

[10] Jørgensen, T.: On discrete groups of Möbius transformations. Amer. J. Math. **98** , 739-749 (1976)

[11] Kamiya, S.: Notes on non-discrete subgroups of U(1,n; F). Hiroshima Math. J. **13**, 501-506 (1983)

[12] Kamiya, S.: Notes on elements of U(1,n; C). Hiroshima Math. J. **21**, 23-45 (1991)

[13] Kamiya, S., Parker, J. R.: Discrete subgroups of PU(2, 1) with screw parabolic elements. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **144**, 443-445 (2008)

[14] Kim, D.: Discreteness criterions of isometric subgroups for quaternionic hyperbolic space. Geometriae Dedicata **106**, 51-78 (2004)

[15] Kim, I., Parker, J. R.: Geometry of quaternionic hyperbolic manifolds. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **135**, 291-320 (2003)

[16] Korányi, A., Reimann, H. M.: The complex cross-ratio on the Heisenberg group. Enseign. Math. **33**, 291-300 (1987)

[17] Markham, S., Parker,J. R.: Jorgensen's inequality for metric spaces with application to the octonions. Advances in Geometry **7**, 19-38 (2007)

[18] Parker, J. R.: Shimizu's lemma for complex hyperbolic space. Int. J. Math. **3**, 291-308 (1992)

[19] Parker, J. R.: Uniform discreteness and Heisenberg translations. Math. Zeit. **225**, 485-505 (1997)

[20] Xie, B. H., Jiang, Y. P.: Discreteness of subgroups of PU(2,1) with regular elliptic elements. Linear Algebra Appl. **428**, 732-737 (2008)

[21] Zhang, F.: Quaternions and matrices of quaternions. Linear Algebra Appl. **251**, 21-57 (1997)